

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,667	HATTON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ba K. Trinh	1625	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ba K. Trinh.

(2) Ms Kristin Neuman.

**Date of Interview:** 19 March 2004

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** non-final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 4:00 PM

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-10

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Authorization has been given to amend claims 2-10 so that the claimed invention is in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.*

*BT 3/19/04*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/~~SPE~~ Signature)

N/A

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)